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Background to LWA Study

- 2003: CSIRO’s ES Research
- 2007: Currency of ES concept in US and Europe
- 2008: Review of ES in Australian Environmental Research
- 2009: LWA supported extension of review into
  - grey literature
  - practitioners’ experiences
Study Objectives

- To review the Australian grey literature on Ecosystem Services
  - Has the ES concept been increasingly utilised?
  - What is the nature of this literature?
- To develop an understanding of practitioners’ experiences with Ecosystem Services
  - What worked?
  - What didn’t work?
  - What can be done better?
Definitions

- ‘Ecosystem Services’ - the benefits to people from nature
- ‘Academic’ literature - anything that’s published in peer reviewed academic journals
- ‘Grey’ literature – anything that’s not published in peer reviewed academic journals
- ‘NRM Practitioners’ – CMA staff
The ES Concept

- Original ES literature made three points:
  - We need to recognise the dependence of people on ecosystems
  - We need to express dependence in ways that a broader range of people can understand and engage in
  - We get better outcomes if we consider the full suite of benefits from nature
Approach

- Review of non-academic Australian ES resources based on web search and expert advice
- Semi-structured interviews with seven CMAs
- Informal conversations with selected ES experts to discuss approach and findings
Part I
International Trends
International Trends – Policy

- **2007: G8 ‘Potsdam Initiative’: The Economics of Ecosystems & Biodiversity (TEEB)**
  - Interim report presented at 2008 CBD-COP9 (Bonn)
  - Final results presented at 2010 CBD-COP10 (Nagoya)

- **2009: USDA Office of ES & Markets**
  - New technical guidelines and science-based methods to assess environmental services
  - Focus on US agriculture producers
International Trends – Key Reports

- European Communities (2008)

  - *The Cost of Policy Inaction*. Alterra, Wageningen

- EASAC (2009)
  - *Ecosystem Services and Biodiversity in Europe*. Royal Society, UK
Part II

Academic Literature
Fig. 1 – Number of papers using the term “ecosystem services” or “ecological services” in an ISI Web of Science search through 2007. Source: Fisher et al. (2009)
Objective of Academic Review

- To review the uptake of the Ecosystem Services concept in Australian research and practice
  - Has the concept been increasingly utilized?
  - How has the concept been adopted
Approach

- Journal search 2008/09
  - Keywords “ecosystem services” and “australia”
  - Databases:
    - CSA Ecology Abstracts
    - Blackwell Synergy
    - Web of Science

- Raw search results: ~330 unique entries
  - Discarded book reviews, editorials, etc.
  - Manual screening for relevance to AU: ~100 papers
Results – Uptake of the ES Concept

![Academic Literature on Australian Ecosystem Services 1993 - 2008](chart)
Author Affiliations

Lead Author Affiliations

- Australia: 78%
- USA: 8%
- Other: 10%
- UK: 2%
- Germany: 1%
- Switzerland: 1%
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How has the ES concept been used?

- **Bio-Physical (~35 papers)**
  - Sales piece for traditional research, e.g. pollination
  - Water-related ecosystems
  - Production ecosystems, e.g. fisheries, forestry, agriculture

- **Valuation (~15 papers)**

- **Policy Design and Governance (~25 papers)**
  - Markets for Ecosystem Services
Findings

- Increasing uptake in academic literature
- Lots of ‘sales pieces’
- Emphasis on ecology and economic valuation
- Gap in the ‘middle’ - few explicit linkages between ES concept and (disciplinary) approaches
- Lots of potentially relevant Australian literature ‘under the radar’ because not explicitly labelled as ES
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Part III
Practitioners’ Experience
Approach

- Seven CMAs in three ‘clusters’
  - Experienced: Goulburn-Broken, North Central
  - Intermediate: Namoi, Border Rivers-Gwydir, Murray
  - Beginners: Murrumbidgee, Lachlan

- Semi-structured face to face interviews
  - Part A: Introduction (10min)
  - Part B: Understanding of ES concept (15min)
  - Part C: Experience with ES concept (30min)
  - Part D: Other frameworks & NRM issues (30min)

- All interviews (12 hrs total) recorded & transcribed
Understanding of ES Concept

- the term was not widely used or understood by the broader community
- some had used it and moved to habitat hectares, stewardship terms or multiple outcomes terminology
- the concept was seen as background, necessary for grant success, useful and innocuous
Examples of CMA Projects - VIC

- Goulburn-Broken CMA
  - CSIRO Ecosystem Services Project
    - ecosystemservicesproject.org

- Corangamite CMA / DSE
  - "ecoTender"

- North Central CMA
  - Literature review Reid & Williams (2008)
  - Investment Framework For Environmental Resources
    - cyllene.uwa.edu.au/~dpannell/infer.htm
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Examples of CMA Projects – NSW

- Border Rivers-Gwydir/Namoi/Western CMA
  - Biodiversity and Production Dual Outcomes
    - agbiodiversity.net
  - Moree Plains Biodiversity Extension Project

- Sydney Catchment Authority
  - Catchment as Business Assets

- North Central / Mallee CMA
  - Wimmera Mallee Ecosystem Function Project

- Murrumbidgee CMA
  - Landholder stewardship payments
Other Frameworks suggested

- Comprehensive Adequate Representative (Namoi)
  - Have certain amount of landscape units intact across landscape
- Change Management (Namoi)
  - Resilient communities; Resilience is an outcome
- Multi-Criteria Analysis (Namoi)
  - Development Pressure
- INFFER (North Central, Goulburn-Broken)
‘Grey’ ES Literature
Key Resources

- CSIRO
  - Natural Assets, Natural Values
  - MBIs
- Cotton Catchment Communities CRC
- DSE-VIC
  - ecoTender
- Miscellaneous
  - Brochures, media releases, PowerPoints, etc.
Gaps & Challenges

- People saw the challenges of complexity, the notion of value as opposed to assets, understanding functions before using interventions
- Limited diffusion of the idea
Conclusions

- **Principle 1: dependence of people on ecosystems**
  - Thinking about value of natural resources has broadly found its way into NRM practice
  - Notion of dependence seems less prominent

- **Principle 2: engage/inform broader range of people**
  - ES rarely used for engagement and consultation

- **Principle 3: consider the full suite of benefits**
  - Focus on single (or small bundle of) ES — ‘production vs. biodiversity’
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Conclusions – Cont’d

- VIC practitioners more experienced
  - moved on to asset-based frameworks, e.g. INFFER
  - Proactive approach to target
- NSW less experienced
  - Limited awareness of ES resources
  - Reactive approach - Fed/State targets drive agenda
- ES concept primarily lives on in MBI and stewardship programs
Research Priorities

- Innovative knowledge sharing approaches
  - Lack of mechanisms that can appropriately accommodate and communicate the complexity of concepts
  - Web portals and toolbars are a good starting point, but more is needed for R&D outputs and practical experiences to reach a broader NRM audience – and get used
Research Priorities – Cont’d

- Minimal models for ES trade-offs
  - Science of ecosystem production functions identified as key research priority (Daily & Matson 2009)
  - Current models often too complex to play a role in investment decisions involving ES trade-offs
  - Minimal models (e.g. Anderies et al. 2004) have potential to overcome this significant limitation of dynamic models
Final Considerations

- "Is the ES concept useful or not?"
  - Irrelevant question as humans depend on nature, whether we like it or not

- "Is the ES approach useful or not?"
  - Flawed question as approach pertains to disciplinary tools (e.g. CBA, MCA) and divert our attention from the concept
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