- Once GRS has received all Examiner reports, the e-form will be progressed to the RAO review stage on RM. Open the *Thesis Submission and Exam Outcome* e-form for the student and navigate to the *RAO Review Results* page
 - Note: if necessary you can review the Student's background information on the *Candidature Details* page



- On the *RAO Review Results* scroll down to view the Combined examiner reports. You can download the combined examiner reports for your review
 - Note: If the Examiners provided an annotated thesis as part of their assessment, it will be uploaded below the combined examiner reports

Thesis title ****Test Thesis Title**** Student's original thesis TEST for RM.pdf	Thesis title Original copy of Thesis (If applicable) Other documents related to Thesis
Other documents related to thesis	
Additional documents for RAO (such as ithenticate report, if applicable) No file	iThenticate report (if applicable to your faculty)
Select file	Combined Examiner Reports
Test for rm.docx × Annotated thesis from examiners (if applicable) ×	Annotated Thesis (If applicable)
Select file	

- After reviewing the assessment recommendations from each examiner, you will need to assign examination outcome to the appropriate delegation for review:
 - Where examiner recommendations are ALL 1s, OR a 1 and a 2: 1. Responsible Academic Officer (RAO)
 - Note: where 3 examiners are used, a combination of two 1s and a 2 assessment outcomes are required for RAO review

- Where examiner recommendations are 1, 2 or 3: 2. Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC)
- Where **ANY** examiner recommendation includes a 4, 5 or 6: **3. Thesis Examination Committee (TEC)**

Delegation guidelines

Recommendations 1 or 1 and 2

When examiner recommendations are ALL 1 or a 1 and a 2.

For Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building and Institute of Sustainable Futures a combination of 2 x 1s and 1 x 2. The RAO has delegation. In this case, please select '1 - Responsible Academic Officer (RAO)'.

Recommendations 1, 2 or 3

When all examiner recommendations are 1, 2 or 3, the Faculty Research Degree Committee (FRDC) will consider/approve the draft resolution prepared by the faculty RAO. The faculty will communicate the outcome to the student and supervisory panel following FRDC process. In this case, please select '2 – Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC)'.

Recommendations 4, 5 or 6

When any examiner recommendation includes a 4, 5 or 6, the FRDC must review the reports and provide a resolution to the TEC via GRS. If your student received recommendation includes a 4, 5 or 6, please select '3 – Thesis Examination Committee' from the drop down below.

The TEC via GRS will then communicate the decision to the RAO. The RAO will communicate the outcome to the student and supervisory panel on behalf of FRDC.

As per the instructions above select the appropriate delegation: *		
Please select Please select 1 - Responsible Academic Officer (RAO)		Select appropriate delegation here
2 - Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC) 3 - Thesis Examination Committee (TEC)		Input your draft
RAO's draft resolution:	-	resolution
Reviewing RAO name *		Record your name here
• Question is mandatory		
	_	(If applicable) Upload
RAO Additional document No file X		any additional documentation

The next steps are different, depending on which delegation has been selected, please refer to the relevant section.

1. Responsible Academic Officer (RAO)

• Please select FRDC Resolution 1 in the Draft Resolution

1 - Responsible Academic Officer (RAO)	~		
Responsible Academic Officer (RAO)			
RAO has delegated authority to approve resolution	when all examiner recommendations include	d only 1s; or a 1 and a 2 or 2 x	1s and 1 x 2.
Please select FRDC Resolution 1		Select Resolution 1	
aft resolution * FRDC Resolution 1	~	here	
at the student be admitted to the degree, subject to th	e correction of typographic errors without an	y amendments.	
==== Student instructions for the f	inal resolution of this thesis	examination =====	<u>!</u>
ate results released to student *		Er	nter date Results are

• Next select *Approve Resolution*, then refresh the page and select *Release to Student* to conclude the process

1	Save	2
	Send Results to Supervisor	Save
	Approve Resolution	Release to Student
,		1

2. Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC)

• After assigning the FRDC as the appropriate delegation, select *Send Results to Supervisor* for their action



- The **Supervisor**, in consultation with the supervisor panel and the RAO will then complete an overview of the examiner reports and an action plan for the student and upload it to RM, please navigate to the *Examination Results* Page to upload the documentation.
 - If necessary, the panel and RAO can also complete an "identification and justification of exemptions to examiner recommendations" report in the event where the examiner's critique is based on factual error. (Please note: The student should be encouraged to engage with criticism in good faith and provide their own justification).

No file	Either upload the overview
Select file or Drop file here	report here, or type a response
is section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a j	factual error or to exclude offensive comments (i.e. this section must not include difference of
s section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a inions, these should be addressed by the student). Before completing this part, tification for their rationale, as part of the rigorous academic discussion which	factual error or to exclude offensive comments (i.e. this section must not include difference of please note that students should be encouraged to engage with examiners' criticism and provi h is part of the PhD/MRes process.
is section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a j inions, these should be addressed by the student). Before completing this part, tification for their rationale, as part of the rigorous academic discussion which	factual error or to exclude offensive comments (i.e. this section must not include difference of please note that students should be encouraged to engage with examiners' criticism and provi h is part of the PhD/MRes process. If necessary: either upload the
is section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a , inions, these should be addressed by the student). Before completing this part, tification for their rationale, as part of the rigorous academic discussion which No file	factual error or to exclude offensive comments (i.e. this section must not include difference of please note that students should be encouraged to engage with examiners' criticism and provide his part of the PhD/MRes process. If necessary: either upload the exemption justification report
is section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a inions, these should be addressed by the student). Before completing this part, tification for their rationale, as part of the rigorous academic discussion which No file Select file or Drop file here	factual error or to exclude offensive comments (i.e. this section must not include difference of please note that students should be encouraged to engage with examiners' criticism and provide his part of the PhD/MRes process. If necessary: either upload the exemption justification report here, or type a response in the

• Once the necessary reports are complete select *Submit Form to RAO*



Thesis Submission and Exam Outcome – RAO Guide 280922

• Once the Supervisor has submitted all necessary reports, and the FRDC review is underway (offline process), navigate to the *FRDC Review Results* page to download and review any reports.

Supervisor response			
No file ×		Supervisor's response to the examination	
entification and justification of exemptions to examiner recommendations			
o be completed by supervisor in consultation with supervisor panel and RAO. You can either type your r his section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a factual error or to exclu y the student). Before completing this part, please note that students should be encouraged to engage iscussion which is part of the PhD/MRes process.	esponse or upload a docume ude offensive comments (i.e. with examiners' criticism an	nt in the section below: this section must not include difference of opinions, these si d provided justification for their rationale, as part of the rige	hould be add orous acade
's be completed by supervisor in consultation with supervisor panel and RAO. You can either type your r 'his section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a factual error or to exclu y the student). Before completing this part, please note that students should be encouraged to engage l'scussion which is part of the PhD/MRes process.	esponse or upload a docume ide offensive comments (i.e. with examiners' criticism an	nt in the section below: this section must not include difference of opinions, these si d provided justification for their rationale, as part of the rige	hould be add orous acade

After reviewing the reports, communicate with the FRDC and after the FRDC you need to:

- Select the appropriate FRDC Resolution from the drop box, and enter name of the FRDC Chair under *FRDC outcome released by*, then if the outcome is ready to be released enter the date under *Date results released to student*.
 - Note, a description of each Resolution will appear below the *FRDC Resolution* text box as each option is selected

Select correct EPDC
Desclution from the dram
Resolution from the drop
box
FRDC chair and then upload any additional documentation from the FRDC (If applicable)
:

Date results released to student *

Enter date Results are released to the student

Thesis Submission and Exam Outcome – RAO Guide 280922

• Once everything is complete select Approve Resolution



• After approving the resolution, you can review the Resolution Summary to ensure it is correct, and then select *Release to Student* to finalise the process.

Save	
Release to Student	

3. Thesis Examination Committee (TEC)

• After assigning the TEC as the appropriate delegation, select *Send Results to Supervisor* for their action



- The **Supervisor**, in consultation with the supervisor panel and the RAO will then complete an overview of the examiner reports and an action plan for the student and upload it to RM, please navigate to the *Examination Results* Page to upload the documentation
 - If necessary, the panel and RAO can also complete an "identification and justification of exemptions to examiner recommendations" report in the event where the examiner's critique is based on factual error. (Please note: The student should be encourage to engage with criticism in good faith and provide their own justification)

Overview of examiner reports and action plan for student To be completed by supervisor in consultation with supervisor panel and RAO. You can either type your respon	se or upload a documei	nt in the section below:	
Supervisor response			
No file ×		Supervisor's response to the examination	
Identification and justification of exemptions to examiner recommendations To be completed by supervisor in consultation with supervisor panel and RAO. You can either type your respon This section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a factual error or to exclude of by the student). Before completing this part, please note that students should be encouraged to engage with e discussion which is part of the PhD/MRes process.	se or upload a documer fensive comments (i.e. t xaminers' criticism and	nt in the section below: this section must not include difference of opinions, these provided justification for their rationale, as part of the rig	should be addressed gorous academic
No file ×		Supervisor's exemptions to examiner	

• Once the necessary reports are complete select Submit Form to RAO



Thesis Submission and Exam Outcome – RAO Guide 280922

• Once the Supervisor has submitted all necessary reports, and the FRDC review is underway (offline process), navigate to the *FRDC Review Results* page to download and review any reports.

Supervisor response			
No file ×		Supervisor's response to the examination	
dentification and justification of exemptions to examiner recommendations			
rentrication and pastinization of exemptions to examine recommendations be completed by supervisor in consultation with supervisor panel and RAO. You can either type your resp his section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a factual error or to exclude the student). Before completing this part, please note that students should be encouraged to engage wit iscussion which is part of the PhD/MRes process.	onse or upload a docume offensive comments (i.e. h examiners' criticism an	ent in the section below: this section must not include difference of opinions, these s d provided justification for their rationale, as part of the rig	hould be addres orous academic
Ventrication and particulation of exemptions to examine recommendations fo be completed by supervisor in consultation with supervisor panel and RAO. You can either type your resp 'his section should be used when examiners have provided a critique based on a factual error or to exclude y the student). Before completing this part, please note that students should be encouraged to engage wit liscussion which is part of the PhD/MRes process.	ons: or upload a docume offensive comments (i.e. h examiners' criticism an	nt in the section below: this section must not include difference of opinions, these s d provided justification for their rationale, as part of the rig	hould be addres orous academic
No file	onse or upload a docume offensive comments (i.e. examiners' criticism an	int in the section below: this section must not include difference of opinions, these s d provided justification for their rationale, as part of the rig Supervisor's exemptions to	hould be addre

- Upon reviewing the reports, select the appropriate option from the drop box below *FRDC Resolution to TEC*, and the FRCD chair name under *FRDC Chair Name*, then confirm that the correct date of the Meeting is entered. Once everything is complete select *Approve Resolution*
 - Note, a description of each Resolution will appear below the *FRDC Resolution Options to TEC* text box as each option is selected.

Appropriate delegation for final decision:	
3 - Thesis Examination Committee (TEC)	
Please check 'Resolution Summary' page for any comments and action 'FRDC Resolution options to TEC' and select 'Recommend to TEC' in the at the next TEC meeting. The form will be sent back to you with the TEC to the term of term of terms of the term of terms of	Select correct FRDC Resolution from the drop box and add in any additional comments
FRDC Resolution options to TEC *	
Please select 🗸	
Additional comments from the FRDC:	Enter the name of the FRDC chair and then check the correct date is
FRDC chair name *	
Date of FRDC meeting	
===== Final resolution =====	
As RAO, I confirm the above as the FRDC's final resolution	
FRDC outcome released by *	Enter RAO's name here
RAO name	and upload any
Additional document after FRDC	additional documentation from the
No file ×	
Select file or Drop file here	
===== Student instructions for the final resolution of this thesis examination	
Date results released to student *	Enter date Results are

• Select Recommend to TEC to send the form to GRS for the next TEC meeting



• After the TEC meeting has approved the resolution, the e-form will be returned to the RAO. The RAO can review the Resolution Summary to ensure it is correct, and then select *Release to Student* to finalise the process.

TEC resolution *	l I		
TEC Resolution 1		TEC Resolution	
 That the student be admitted to the degree subject to criticisms as specified by th changing the substantive conclusions of the thesis. All examiner recommendations are to be addressed in the revision unless identifi recommendations'. All revisions must be made and approved by the RAO and fina [refer Student Rules 11.20.5]. 	e examiners b ed under 'ldent l thesis must b	eing addressed to the satisfaction of t iffication and justification of exemptic e submitted for graduation within 6 n	he RAO, and any rewriting not ons to examiner nonths from date of notification
Additional comments from the TEC:			↑
TEC chair name * Farukh Anjum		TEC chair	
Date of TEC meeting Date of TEC Date of TEC			TEC Resolution
Save			
Release to Student			

• However, if the TEC recommends re-examination, you need to enter the re-examination deadline before releasing the report to the Student.

===== Thesis resubmission for re-examination deadline =====

Please record the thesis resubmission for re-examination deadline as per the TEC's decision

